

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

## THE GROWTH HORMONE RELEASING HORMONE ANALOGUE, TESAMORELIN, DECREASES MUSCLE FAT AND INCREASES MUSCLE AREA IN ADULTS WITH HIV

S. ADRIAN<sup>1</sup>, A. SCHERZINGER<sup>1</sup>, A. SANYAL<sup>2</sup>, J.E. LAKE<sup>3</sup>, J. FALUTZ<sup>4</sup>, M.P. DUBÉ<sup>5</sup>, T. STANLEY<sup>6</sup>, S. GRINSPON<sup>6</sup>, J.-C. MAMPUTU<sup>7</sup>, C. MARSOLAIS<sup>7</sup>, T.T. BROWN<sup>2</sup>, K.M. ERLANDSON<sup>1</sup>

1. University of Colorado, Aurora, CO; 2. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD; 3. University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX; 4. McGill University, Montreal, Canada; 5. University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; 6. Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; 7. Theratechnologies, Montreal, Canada.

Corresponding author: Kristine M. Erlandson, MD, 12700 E. 19th Avenue, Mail Stop B168, Aurora, CO 80045, Kristine.Erlandson@ucdenver.edu; 303-724-4941 (p); 303-724-4926 (f)

**Abstract:** *Background:* Tesamorelin, a growth hormone-releasing hormone analogue, decreases visceral adipose tissue in people living with HIV, however, the effects on skeletal muscle fat and area are unknown. *Objectives:* The goals of this exploratory secondary analysis were to determine the effects of tesamorelin on muscle quality (density) and quantity (area). *Design:* Secondary, exploratory analysis of two previously completed randomized (2:1), clinical trials. *Setting:* U.S. and Canadian sites. *Participants:* People living with HIV and with abdominal obesity. Tesamorelin participants were restricted to responders (visceral adipose tissue decrease  $\geq 8\%$ ). *Intervention:* Tesamorelin or placebo. *Measurements:* Computed tomography scans (at L4-L5) were used to quantify total and lean density (Hounsfield Units, HU) and area (centimeters<sup>2</sup>) of four trunk muscle groups using a semi-automatic segmentation image analysis program. Differences between muscle area and density before and after 26 weeks of tesamorelin or placebo treatment were compared and linear regression models were adjusted for baseline and treatment arm. *Results:* Tesamorelin responders (n=193) and placebo (n=148) participants with available images were similar at baseline; most were Caucasian (83%) and male (87%). In models adjusted for baseline differences and treatment arm, tesamorelin was associated with significantly greater increases in density of four truncal muscle groups (coefficient 1.56-4.86 Hounsfield units; all p<0.005), and the lean anterolateral/abdominal and rectus muscles (1.39 and 1.78 Hounsfield units; both p<0.005) compared to placebo. Significant increases were also seen in total area of the rectus and psoas muscles (0.44 and 0.46 centimeters<sup>2</sup>; p<0.005), and in the lean muscle area of all four truncal muscle groups (0.64-1.08 centimeters<sup>2</sup>; p<0.005). *Conclusions:* Among those with clinically significant decrease in visceral adipose tissue on treatment, tesamorelin was effective in increasing skeletal muscle area and density. Long term effectiveness of tesamorelin among people with and without HIV, and the impact of these changes in daily life should be further studied.

**Key words:** Muscle quality, sarcopenia, frailty, IGF-1, visceral adipose tissue.

J Frailty Aging 2019;8(3):154-159  
Published online December 14, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.14283/jfa.2018.45>

### Introduction

Due to the successes of antiretroviral therapy, persons living with human immunodeficiency virus infection (PLWH) are living longer (1) and experiencing conditions commonly associated with aging (i.e., cardiovascular disease (2-4), neurocognitive dysfunction (5, 6), physical function impairments (7, 8), and falls (7, 9)). Although multiple factors contribute to the development of these disease processes, an accumulation of visceral adipose tissue (VAT) may play a central role (10-12). VAT accumulation is associated with HIV (4), antiretroviral therapy (4, 6, 12), and diet and physical activity (6, 12), and may contribute to the low-level, chronic inflammatory state persistent in HIV infection despite virologic suppression. Indeed, several studies have shown strong associations between VAT or central adiposity and cardiovascular disease (10), neurocognitive dysfunction (6, 11), and frailty (13) among PLWH.

VAT tends to accumulate with increasing age (14, 15). The deposition of this ectopic adipose tissue in organs including the

liver, epicardium, and skeletal muscle has been associated with organ-specific disease development including liver steatosis and fibrosis (16), myocardial infarction (17), and physical function limitations (18) or falls (18). The combination of age-associated and HIV-associated VAT accumulation may accentuate the effects of organ-specific diseases and frailty among people aging with HIV. Furthermore, therapeutic options to reverse VAT accumulation are limited (19).

In November 2010, the United States Food and Drug Administration approved tesamorelin for the treatment of excessive abdominal fat in PLWH (20). Tesamorelin is a synthetic growth hormone-releasing hormone that acts on the anterior pituitary gland to stimulate the endogenous growth hormone secretion (21). In randomized, controlled, double-blinded studies, tesamorelin decreased VAT by approximately 15% compared to placebo (22-24). In a separate study, tesamorelin reduced hepatic fat by a relative 40% (25) among PLWH with abdominal fat accumulation, compared to a 27% increase in the placebo arm. Ongoing studies are investigating the long-term safety of tesamorelin and the impact

of tesamorelin on cognition, liver inflammation, and diabetic retinopathy in PLWH. The goals of this exploratory secondary analysis were to determine the effects of tesamorelin on muscle quality, as measured by changes in computed tomography (CT)-based trunk muscle density, a biopsy-correlated measurement of skeletal muscle fat (26), and trunk muscle area. We hypothesized that tesamorelin would increase skeletal muscle density (less fat) and skeletal muscle area, supportive of an overall improvement in muscle quality, compared to placebo.

## Methods

### Participants

This analysis is a secondary, exploratory analysis of two previously completed randomized (2:1), clinical trials of tesamorelin versus placebo among PLWH with abdominal adiposity (22-24). Details of the study design and primary study outcomes have been previously published (22-24, 27). In brief, eligible participants from both studies were between 18 and 65 years of age with a CD4+ T-lymphocyte count  $>100$  cells/ $\mu$ L, an HIV-1 RNA  $<10,000$  copies/mL, on stable antiretroviral therapy for at least 8 weeks, and had evidence of excessive abdominal fat accumulation (defined as having waist circumference of  $\geq 95$  cm and waist to hip ratio  $\geq 0.94$  for men and  $\geq 94$  cm and waist to hip ratio  $\geq 0.88$  for women). The initial study design consisted of a primary efficacy phase of the initial studies (baseline–week 26) and a safety extension phase (week 26–52). A minimum of 8% of VAT change was considered clinically relevant (27), and PLWH with  $\geq 8\%$  in VAT reduction were thus deemed responders. Based on the initial studies, approximately 70% of PLWH with central adiposity that received tesamorelin met responder criteria. For this exploratory analysis, data were restricted to the primary efficacy phase and participants who were receiving either placebo (regardless of response) or were tesamorelin responders, as these patients would be those most likely to receive ongoing therapy in clinical practice (Supplemental Figure).

### Body Composition Measurement

CT scans for VAT were obtained as part of the initial studies, with methods described elsewhere (22-24, 27). For this exploratory analysis, truncal muscle density (in Hounsfield Units, HU) and area ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) from scans at baseline and week 26 were analyzed using a semi-automatic segmentation software using an IDL platform (Exelis Visual Information Solutions, Boulder CO). Muscle groups of interest were 1) rectus abdominis, 2) anterolateral abdominal wall muscles (external and internal oblique, and transversus abdominis), 3) psoas major, and 4) paraspinal muscles (erector spinae and transversospinalis). The segmentation technique takes advantage of the unique signal CT-assessed density differences between muscle and fat and has been used in similar studies (28, 29). Lean muscle area was defined as the

muscle component with density  $>30$  HU (30, 31). Muscle and fat segmentations were completed by a single analyst blinded to study arm; 5% of scans were re-analyzed by a second analyst. Prior studies have found a strong correlation between CT-measured muscle density and intramuscular lipid by muscle biopsy (26, 28).

### Laboratory Assessments

As previously described, laboratory analyses included insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) (27).

### Statistical Analysis

Change in muscle density, lean muscle density, muscle area, and lean muscle area for each muscle group between weeks 0 to 26 were compared within treatment arms using paired t-tests. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to describe associations between changes in predictors and changes in outcome variables, restricted to the tesamorelin arm. Bivariate linear regression models included the baseline value (of muscle area or density) and tested the effect of treatment arm. We then singularly tested the addition of change in VAT and change in IGF-1. To adjust for multiple analyses, a p value of  $<0.005$  was considered statistically significant. Analysis using Stata® version 14.2 (Stata Corp LP).

## Results

Of the 272 tesamorelin-responders, evaluable CT images were available at both week 0 and 26 for 193 participants; of 201 placebo recipients, evaluable CT images were available for 148 participants (Supplemental Figure). The majority of participants were Caucasian (83%) and male (87%) with extended antiretroviral history; baseline characteristics were similar between the tesamorelin and placebo groups as detailed in Table 1. Baseline measurements of muscle density and area by study arm are shown in Table 2.

### Correlations

Among participants in the tesamorelin arm, change in VAT correlated with change in total and lean anterolateral/abdominal and total rectus density (Table 3). Change in VAT was correlated only with change in anterolateral/abdominal area. Change in IGF-1 was not significantly correlated with change in density or area.

### Changes in Muscle Density

Compared to placebo, 26 weeks of tesamorelin was associated with significantly greater increases of total muscle density (less muscle fat) within all four truncal muscle groups (rectus abdominis, anterolateral abdominal, psoas major and paraspinal muscle groups,  $p < 0.005$ ); Table 2. The largest net increase was observed within the rectus abdominis (3.5 HU) of the tesamorelin arm. Although increases were also seen in the lean component of muscle density, only differences in

## TESAMORELIN AND MUSCLE

anterolateral abdominal muscles were significantly greater in the tesamorelin vs placebo arms ( $p<0.005$ )

**Table 1**  
Baseline Characteristics

Characteristics	Tesamorelin (N = 193)	Placebo (N = 148)	P-Value
Age (years)	47.8 ( $\pm 7.3$ )	48 ( $\pm 7.6$ )	0.792
Male (%)	89.1	83.8	0.149
Race (%)			0.208
White	86	78.4	
Black or African American	9.3	11.5	
Others	4.6	10.2	
Use of lipid lowering treatment (%)	52.8	43.9	0.102
Use of testosterone (%)	24.9	17.6	0.105
ART usage at baseline (%)			0.642
NRTI + NNRTI	34.7	32.4	
NRTI + NNRTI + PI	9.3	6.8	
NRTI + PI and no NNRTI	47.7	48	
NRTI alone	4.1	6.1	
Other	4.1	6.8	
CD4+ cell count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	636 ( $\pm 319$ )	604 ( $\pm 270$ )	0.664
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.9 ( $\pm 4.2$ )	28.6 ( $\pm 4.3$ )	0.337
Viral load (copies/mL)	851 ( $\pm 1,675$ )	440 ( $\pm 659$ )	0.17
Visceral adipose tissue area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	197 ( $\pm 86$ )	189 ( $\pm 85$ )	0.38
Insulin-like growth factor-1	152 ( $\pm 61.5$ )	157 ( $\pm 63$ )	0.43

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; NRTI, nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor

Multivariate analyses adjusted for baseline values + treatment arm, and then one additional variable (change in VAT or IGF-1) are shown in Table 4. Compared to placebo, tesamorelin resulted in significantly greater increases in muscle density across all total muscle groups and in the lean anterolateral/abdominal and rectus muscle, even after adjusting for differences at baseline. After adjusting for changes in VAT, changes in total muscle density of both the rectus and paraspinal muscles remained significant, while other total and lean muscle groups were attenuated and no longer significant. Lastly, adjustment for changes in IGF-1 slightly attenuated effects, but statistically significant effects persisted across most of the total (anterolateral/abdominal, rectus, paraspinal) and the anterolateral/abdominal lean muscle component.

### Changes in Muscle Area

In unadjusted analyses, significant increases in psoas area were seen with 26 weeks of tesamorelin (0.4 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to placebo (-0.1 cm<sup>2</sup>);  $p<0.005$ ; Table 2. Differences across other muscle groups did not reach statistical significance. In contrast, the tesamorelin arm experienced significantly greater increases

in lean muscle area for all 4 muscle groups compared to placebo (all  $p<0.005$ ).

In multivariate analyses adjusted for baseline values + treatment arm, tesamorelin was associated with significant increases in rectus and psoas total area (Table 4). Significant increases were seen in the lean muscle area of all four muscle groups, with effect sizes nearly double that of the total muscle component. Changes in total muscle area were independent of changes in VAT (tesamorelin resulted in significantly greater increases in total muscle area after adjusting for VAT). In contrast, adjustment for change in IGF-1 explained most of the changes in total muscle area, such that many associations were no longer significant. Lean muscle area changes remained significant after adjusting for VAT; only changes in the rectus and paraspinal lean muscles remained highly significant ( $p<0.005$ ) after adjusting for changes in IGF-1.

### Discussion

Prior studies have found that lower skeletal muscle density, a measure of muscle quality (18) closely related to VAT accumulation (18, 32), is associated with impairments in physical function and falls among older adults (18). We have shown that a growth hormone-releasing hormone analogue approved for reduction in VAT among PLWH with central adiposity also appears to result in significant improvements in skeletal muscle quality. Whether these increases in skeletal muscle density and area have clinically meaningful impacts in skeletal muscle function cannot be determined through this analysis, but our initial exploratory findings support known IGF-1 effects on skeletal muscle, prompt further investigation, and highlight several points of discussion.

Can one imply clinical relevance based on previously published differences in muscle density or area? Several studies (7, 33, 34) have shown associations between less dense thigh musculature with poorer lower limb performance, which may contribute to mobility impairment. Although most prior studies of muscle density and area have focused on thigh musculature, Goodpaster et al. observed that trunk muscle density (our primary outcome) was strongly correlated with thigh density ( $r=0.65-0.77$ ;  $p<0.01$ ) (26). Trunk muscle density has been correlated with trunk muscle strength across multiple muscle groups ( $r=0.32-0.61$ ;  $p<0.05$ ) (35). Adults with moderate or severe back pain had lower trunk muscle density (by 3.4 HU) and poorer score in lower extremity performance tests (chair stands, timed pace walk, and timed standing balance) compared to healthy controls (36). Anderson et al. (37) found greater paraspinal muscle density (10-12 HU) with better balance (less postural sway) in older adults (~84 years old). Lastly, among post-menopausal women randomized to hormone replacement, high-impact exercise, hormone replacement + exercise, or control for 1 year, hormone replacement with or without exercise resulted in significantly greater quadricep muscle density (0.6-1.3 HU) compared to loss of 0.6 HU in the control

**Table 2**  
Muscle Density and Area by Study Arm at Baseline and Difference between Week 26 and Baseline

Muscle measurements	Baseline		P-Value	Difference Week 26 - Baseline		P value of Difference
	Tesamorelin (N = 193)	Placebo (N = 148)		Tesamorelin	Placebo	
<b>Anterolateral/abdominal</b>						
Muscle density (HU)	37.3 (10.8)	35.4 (10.6)	0.11	2.0 (4.9)	-0.6 (4.9)	<0.001
Lean muscle density (HU)	50.3 (6.2)	49.3 (5.7)	0.14	0.9 (3.2)	-0.2 (3.4)	0.002
Muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	27.6 (6.2)	26.0 (6.2)	0.017	-0.2 (2.9)	0 (2.8)	0.50
Lean muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	19.0 (6.3)	17.2 (6.3)	0.008	0.7 (2.8)	-0.2 (2.7)	0.003
<b>Rectus</b>						
Muscle density (HU)	32.5 (13.6)	30.0 (12.8)	0.089	3.5 (7.5)	-0.8 (8.6)	<0.001
Lean muscle density (HU)	49.2 (8.0)	47.7 (7.6)	0.080	1.2 (4.5)	-0.2 (5.3)	0.012
Muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	9 (2.5)	8.2 (2.3)	0.005	0.4 (1.6)	0.1 (1.2)	0.055
Lean muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	5.8 (2.8)	5.0 (2.6)	0.005	0.7 (1.6)	-0.1 (1.3)	<0.001
<b>Psoas</b>						
Muscle density (HU)	50.2 (10.3)	47.6 (9.8)	0.025	0.9 (3.9)	-0.3 (3.4)	0.004
Lean muscle density (HU)	57.6 (8.5)	55.7 (7.9)	0.033	0.2 (3.0)	-0.3 (3.0)	0.13
Muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	18.7 (3.8)	17.4 (3.7)	0.002	0.4 (1.3)	-0.1 (1.0)	<0.001
Lean muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	15.9 (4.0)	14.6 (3.8)	0.002	0.5 (1.3)	-0.1 (1.0)	<0.001
<b>Paraspinal</b>						
Muscle density (HU)	41.8 (10.9)	39.8 (10.7)	0.087	1.1 (3.8)	-0.6 (3.4)	<0.001
Lean muscle density (HU)	54.1 (6.7)	53.2 (5.8)	0.17	0.3 (2.5)	-0.1 (2.4)	0.158
Muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	25.6 (4.8)	25.0 (4.8)	0.26	0.2 (1.9)	-0.2 (2.2)	0.059
Lean muscle area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	18.9 (5.9)	17.8 (6)	0.12	0.5 (2.1)	-0.4 (2.2)	<0.001

Abbreviations: HU, Hounsfield units.

group (p <0.05) (38).

Limited data among PLWH provide some clinical relevance as well. PLWH with lipodystrophy had significantly lower median muscle density (55.0 HU; IQR 51.0-58.3) compared to PLWH without lipodystrophy (57.0 HU; IQR 55.0-59.0) and HIV-uninfected men (59.5 HU; IQR 57.3-64.8) [39]. In the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study, men with HIV (~57 years old) on antiretroviral therapy had lower thigh muscle density (by 1 HU), compared to their HIV-uninfected peers (~54 years old), and lower thigh muscle density was correlated with greater VAT area and weaker grip strength (7). We have previously shown that antiretroviral therapy initiation was associated with a decrease in trunk muscle density (-0.87 to -2.4 HU across muscle groups) over 96 weeks [40]. Lastly, in a three month intervention of metformin with or without aerobic + resistance exercise among lipodystrophic men and women with HIV (n=25), participants in the exercise + metformin arm experienced greater increase in thigh muscle attenuation (median change 2.0; IQR 0.5, 5.0 HU) compared to metformin only arm (-1.0, IQR -3.5, 0; p=0.04) (41). In summary, the extent of change that we observed among tesamorelin responders is consistent with clinically meaningful differences

seen in other study populations.

In our analysis, changes in muscle density within the tesamorelin arm did not correlate with IGF-1 concentration. These findings were further corroborated in the multivariate analyses, where changes in muscle density remained significant across many muscle groups even after adjusting for change in IGF-1. In contrast, changes in total and lean muscle density with tesamorelin were attenuated across most of the muscle groups after adjusting for VAT. These findings suggest similar mechanisms may underlie changes in VAT and muscle density, but that the tesamorelin-related effects on muscle density are independent of IGF-1. In contrast to the muscle density findings, muscle area and lean muscle area were independent of VAT but not IGF-1 changes. Although our findings suggest that the tesamorelin effect on muscle area may be more related to the IGF-1 effects rather than the VAT reduction, our results are limited by the inclusion of VAT responders only.

Several limitations to our study should be noted: the majority of participants were male, Caucasian, with an average age less than 50, thus may not reflect the global HIV population. Similarly, the mean age of our participants is well below the typical targeted population for lean mass-directed therapies.

## TESAMORELIN AND MUSCLE

**Table 3**

Pearson Correlation Coefficients Describing the Change in Body Composition or Laboratory Measures with the Change in Muscle Density or Area, Tesamorelin Arm only

	Change in Total Density (r)				Change in Lean Density (r)			
	Anterolateral/ Abdominal	Rectus	Psoas	Paraspinal	Anterolateral/abdominal	Rectus	Psoas	Paraspinal
Change in VAT	-0.205†	-0.183*	-0.058	-0.066	-0.154*	-0.081	-0.002	0.059
Change in IGF-1	0.075	0.036	-0.119	0.058	0.086	0.027	0.058	0.057
Change in Total Area				Change in Lean Area				
Change in VAT	0.276†	0.073	0.056	0.177	0.080	-0.022	0.014	0.070
Change in IGF-1	0.037	0.012	0.082	0.087	0.060	0.056	0.133	0.068

†p <0.005, \* p <0.01; BMI, body mass index; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1.

**Table 4**

Bivariate and Multivariate Linear Regression Exploring the Effects of Tesamorelin Compared to Placebo on Changes in Muscle Density (Hounsfield Units [HU]) and Muscle Area (cm<sup>2</sup>)

	Total Muscle Density (top) or Area (bottom)		Lean Muscle Density (top) or Area (bottom)			
	Coefficient (SE)		Coefficient (SE)			
	Tesamorelin Effect Compared to Placebo (baseline adjustment only)	Tesamorelin Effect, Adjusted for Baseline and Change in VAT or IGF-1	Tesamorelin Effect Compared to Placebo (baseline adjustment only)	Tesamorelin Effect, Adjusted for Baseline and Change in VAT or IGF-1	Δ VAT†	Δ IGF-1†
<i>Muscle Density (HU)</i>						
Anterolateral/abdominal	2.98 (0.51)‡	1.32 (0.58)*	2.77 (0.62)‡	1.39 (0.32)‡	0.55 (0.41)	1.29 (0.41)‡
Rectus	4.86 (0.83)‡	3.10 (1.09)‡	4.41 (1.05)‡	1.78 (0.48)‡	1.29 (0.63)*	1.59 (0.74)*
Psoas	1.56 (0.37)‡	0.74 (0.45)	1.23 (0.52)*	0.82 (0.30)†	0.40 (0.35)	0.85 (0.41)*
Paraspinal	1.97 (0.38)‡	1.58 (0.51)‡	1.93 (0.55)‡	0.51 (0.25)*	0.47 (0.33)	0.58 (0.37)
<i>Muscle Area (cm<sup>2</sup>)</i>						
Anterolateral/abdominal	-0.11(0.31)	1.23 (0.42)‡	-0.25 (0.41)	1.08 (0.31)‡	1.38 (0.37)‡	0.91 (0.38)*
Rectus	0.44 (0.15)‡	0.57 (0.20)‡	0.32 (0.19)	0.85 (0.15)‡	0.75 (0.21)‡	0.69 (0.20)‡
Psoas	0.46 (0.12)‡	0.62 (0.16)‡	0.27 (0.19)	0.64 (0.13)‡	0.66 (0.17)‡	0.38 (0.19)*
Paraspinal	0.46 (0.22)*	0.97(0.28)‡	0.24 (0.25)	0.96 (0.23)‡	1.30 (0.30)‡	0.81 (0.28)‡

\*\*\*p<0.005 †p<0.01 \* p<0.05; \$multivariate model including baseline value of muscle area or density, treatment arm, and either change in visceral adipose tissue (VAT) area or change in insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1.

We restricted this exploratory analysis to the tesamorelin responder arm, as these patients would be those most likely to receive long-term therapy in clinical practice. Whether tesamorelin would have an effect on muscle density or area without a response to VAT, whether the effects might differ in an older population with poorer muscle quality or age-associated declines in IGF-1, or whether the effects on muscle area and density persist after continuation or cessation of therapy cannot be extrapolated from these initial findings. Importantly, the Phase III clinical trials did not collect objective or subjective measures of muscle function, and thus the clinical relevance of these changes is unknown. Although the tesamorelin and placebo group were similar by demographic characteristics, the muscle area and density were significantly different at baseline in a number of muscle groups; we adjusted

these differences in baseline values in our analyses, but these baseline differences may represent other characteristics that were not accounted for. Multiple analyses were performed, increasing the likelihood that some of our findings were by chance; a lower significant p value (<0.005) was chosen to minimize chance findings. Lastly, a number of CT images were incompatible with the current digital standards or were not available. Despite these limitations, the robust sample size, and the randomized, placebo-controlled design of our initial study provides provocative preliminary findings to support future study.

In summary, among PLWH with excess abdominal fat who had a clinical response to tesamorelin, trunk muscle density and lean muscle area increased over 26 weeks. Changes in muscle density were largely independent of changes in IGF-1

and attenuated by changes in VAT while changes in muscle area were independent of VAT changes and attenuated, in part, by changes in IGF-1. The clinical relevance of these changes on measures of muscle strength and function in tesamorelin recipients remain to be established. Moreover, as cessation of the tesamorelin is typically associated with rapid VAT accumulation (23, 27), the long-term durability of changes in muscle density and area on or following cessation of tesamorelin therapy need to be established, as does the effect of longer-term tesamorelin treatment. Although our findings are too preliminary to suggest that tesamorelin might serve as therapy for impaired muscle function, future studies could investigate the additive effect of tesamorelin and exercise or other sarcopenia-reversing therapies among older adults with excess VAT, regardless of HIV serostatus.

**Funding:** Research reported in this publication was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging (K23AG050260; R01AG054366 to KME) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (K24 AI120834 to TT B and K23 AI110532 to JEL). Theratechnologies provided existing data from the previously completed clinical trials. For the current study, neither Theratechnologies or the National Institutes of Health had a role in the design and conduct of the study; in the analysis or interpretation of data; or in the preparation of the manuscript. Authors from Theratechnologies reviewed and approved of the manuscript.

**Conflict of interest:** none declared by the authors

## References

1. Smit M, Brinkman K, Geerlings S et al. Future challenges for clinical care of an ageing population infected with HIV: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015, 15:810-818.
2. Gebo KA. Epidemiology of HIV and response to antiretroviral therapy in the middle aged and elderly. *Aging health* 2008, 4:615-627.
3. Schouten J, Wit FW, Stolte IG et al. Cross-sectional comparison of the prevalence of age-associated comorbidities and their risk factors between HIV-infected and uninfected individuals: the AGEhIV cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014, 59:1787-1797.
4. Grinspoon S, Carr A. Cardiovascular risk and body-fat abnormalities in HIV-infected adults. *N Engl J Med* 2005, 352:48-62.
5. Robertson KR, Smurzynski M, Parsons TD et al. The prevalence and incidence of neurocognitive impairment in the HAART era. *Aids* 2007, 21:1915-1921.
6. Lake JE. The Fat of the Matter: Obesity and Visceral Adiposity in Treated HIV Infection. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep* 2017.
7. Natsag J, Erlandson KM, Sellmeyer DE et al. HIV Infection Is Associated with Increased Fatty Infiltration of the Thigh Muscle with Aging Independent of Fat Distribution. *PLoS One* 2017, 12:e0169184.
8. Althoff KN, Jacobson LP, Cranston RD et al. Age, comorbidities, and AIDS predict a frailty phenotype in men who have sex with men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2014, 69:189-198.
9. Erlandson KM, Allshouse AA, Jankowski CM et al. Risk factors for falls in HIV-infected persons. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2012, 61:484-489.
10. Grinspoon S. Epicardial adipose tissue and atherosclerosis: EAT your heart out. *AIDS* 2014, 28:1679-1681.
11. Lake JE, Popov M, Post WS et al. Visceral fat is associated with brain structure independent of human immunodeficiency virus infection status. *J Neurovirol* 2017, 23:385-393.
12. Stanley TL, Grinspoon SK. Body composition and metabolic changes in HIV-infected patients. *J Infect Dis* 2012, 205 Suppl 3:S383-390.
13. Shah K, Hilton TN, Myers L et al. A new frailty syndrome: central obesity and frailty in older adults with the human immunodeficiency virus. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012, 60:545-549.
14. Hunter GR, Gower BA, Kane BL. Age Related Shift in Visceral Fat. *Int J Body Compos Res* 2010, 8:103-108.
15. Nomura K, Eto M, Kojima T et al. Visceral fat accumulation and metabolic risk factor clustering in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2010, 58:1658-1663.
16. Kwak JH, Jun DW, Lee SM et al. Lifestyle predictors of obese and non-obese patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A cross-sectional study. *Clin Nutr* 2017.
17. Nicklas BJ, Penninx BW, Cesari M et al. Association of visceral adipose tissue with incident myocardial infarction in older men and women: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004, 160:741-749.
18. Addison O, Marcus RL, Lastayo PC, Ryan AS. Intermuscular fat: a review of the consequences and causes. *Int J Endocrinol* 2014, 2014:309570.
19. Lake JE, Stanley TL, Apovian CM et al. Practical Review of Recognition and Management of Obesity and Lipohypertrophy in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017, 64:1422-1429.
20. Drugs@FDA: FDA Approved Drug Products; Available at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=overview.process&ApplNo=022505>; Accessed 7/1/2018.
21. Spooner LM, Olin JL. Tesamorelin: a growth hormone-releasing factor analogue for HIV-associated lipodystrophy. *Ann Pharmacother* 2012, 46:240-247.
22. Falutz J, Allas S, Blok K et al. Metabolic effects of a growth hormone-releasing factor in patients with HIV. *N Engl J Med* 2007, 357:2359-2370.
23. Falutz J, Allas S, Mamputu JC et al. Long-term safety and effects of tesamorelin, a growth hormone-releasing factor analogue, in HIV patients with abdominal fat accumulation. *Aids* 2008, 22:1719-1728.
24. Falutz J, Potvin D, Mamputu JC et al. Effects of tesamorelin, a growth hormone-releasing factor, in HIV-infected patients with abdominal fat accumulation: a randomized placebo-controlled trial with a safety extension. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2010, 53:311-322.
25. Stanley TL, Feldpausch MN, Oh J et al. Effect of tesamorelin on visceral fat and liver fat in HIV-infected patients with abdominal fat accumulation: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014, 312:380-389.
26. Goodpaster BH, Kelley DE, Thaete FL, He J, Ross R. Skeletal muscle attenuation determined by computed tomography is associated with skeletal muscle lipid content. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2000, 89:104-110.
27. Falutz J, Mamputu JC, Potvin D et al. Effects of tesamorelin (TH9507), a growth hormone-releasing factor analog, in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients with excess abdominal fat: a pooled analysis of two multicenter, double-blind placebo-controlled phase 3 trials with safety extension data. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010, 95:4291-4304.
28. Goodpaster BH, Carlson CL, Visser M et al. Attenuation of skeletal muscle and strength in the elderly: The Health ABC Study. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2001, 90:2157-2165.
29. Schafer AL, Vittinghoff E, Lang TF et al. Fat infiltration of muscle, diabetes, and clinical fracture risk in older adults. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010, 95:E368-372.
30. Goodpaster BH, Thaete FL, Kelley DE. Composition of skeletal muscle evaluated with computed tomography. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2000, 904:18-24.
31. Aubrey J, Esfandiari N, Baracos VE et al. Measurement of skeletal muscle radiation attenuation and basis of its biological variation. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 2014, 210:489-497.
32. Boettcher M, Machann J, Stefan N et al. Intermuscular adipose tissue (IMAT): association with other adipose tissue compartments and insulin sensitivity. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2009, 29:1340-1345.
33. Visser M, Goodpaster BH, Kritchevsky SB et al. Muscle mass, muscle strength, and muscle fat infiltration as predictors of incident mobility limitations in well-functioning older persons. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005, 60:324-333.
34. Lang T, Cauley JA, Tylavsky F et al. Computed tomographic measurements of thigh muscle cross-sectional area and attenuation coefficient predict hip fracture: the health, aging, and body composition study. *J Bone Miner Res* 2010, 25:513-519.
35. Anderson DE, Bean JP, Holt NE, Keel JC, Bouxein ML. Computed tomography-based muscle attenuation and electrical impedance myography as indicators of trunk muscle strength independent of muscle size in older adults. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation / Association of Academic Physiatrists* 2014, 93:553-561.
36. Hicks GE, Simonsick EM, Harris TB et al. Trunk muscle composition as a predictor of reduced functional capacity in the health, aging and body composition study: the moderating role of back pain. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005, 60:1420-1424.
37. Anderson DE, Quinn E, Parker E et al. Associations of Computed Tomography-Based Trunk Muscle Size and Density With Balance and Falls in Older Adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2016, 71:811-816.
38. Taaffe DR, Sipila S, Cheng S et al. The effect of hormone replacement therapy and/or exercise on skeletal muscle attenuation in postmenopausal women: a yearlong intervention. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2005, 25:297-304.
39. Torriani M, Hadigan C, Jensen ME, Grinspoon S. Psoas muscle attenuation measurement with computed tomography indicates intramuscular fat accumulation in patients with the HIV-lipodystrophy syndrome. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2003, 95:1005-1010.
40. Erlandson KM, Fiorillo S, Masawi F et al. Antiretroviral initiation is associated with increased skeletal muscle area and fat content. *Aids* 2017, 31:1831-1838.
41. Dolan SE, Frontera W, Librizzi J et al. Effects of a supervised home-based aerobic and progressive resistance training regimen in women infected with human immunodeficiency virus: a randomized trial. *Arch Intern Med* 2006, 166:1225-1231.